

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/623,922	08/31/2001	Vassilios Papadopoulos	1941.017US1	9599
21186	7590 06/23/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGN	IAN, LUNDBERG, V	DANG, IAN D		
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Commencer	09/623,922	PAPADOPOULOS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	lan Dang	1647			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(a)					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/623,922

Art Unit: 1647

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claim(s) 1-8, and 20, drawn to a cholesterol recognition/interaction amino acid consensus sequence.
- Group II, claim(s) 9-19, drawn to a nucleic acid molecule comprising a vector, the nucleic acid molecule comprising a cholesterol, and host cell.
- Group III, claim 21 drawn to a method for detecting whether or not a protein recognizes cholesterol.
- Group IV, claim 22 drawn to a method for conferring cholesterol recognition/interaction to a molecule.
- Group V, claim 23 drawn to a method for reducing serum cholesterol in a subject.
- Group VI, claim 24 drawn to a method for delivering cholesterol to a subject comprising administering a peptide.
- Group VII, claim 25 drawn to a method for detecting an increase or decrease of cholesterol in a biological sample.
- Group VIII, claim 26 drawn to a method for screening agents or drugs, which are agonists or antagonist of interaction between peptides.
- Group IX, claims 27-28 drawn to a molecule which blocks cholesterol interaction with the cholesterol recognition/interaction consensus sequence.
- Group X, claim 29 drawn for reducing the cholesterol binding ability of peptide which comprise the cholesterol recognition/interaction consensus sequence.
- Group XI claims 30-31 drawn to a peripheral-type benzodiazepine receptor wherein the cholesterol recognition/interaction function of said receptor.
- Group XII, claims 31-36 drawn to a method for reducing disease symptoms in a subject from an increase in cholesterol.

Application/Control Number: 09/623,922

Art Unit: 1647

The inventions listed as Groups I-XII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

According to PCT Rule 13.2, unity of invention exists only when the shared same or corresponding technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The inventions listed as Groups I-XII do not relate to a single general inventive concept because they lack the same or corresponding technical feature.

Claim 1 is directed to a cholesterol recognition/interaction amino acid sequence.

Joseph-Liauzun et al. (J. Biol. Chem., 1998, January 23, Vol.273, No.4, pages 2146-2152)

teach a sequence corresponding to the sequence disclosed in claim 1. The sequence recited on page 2148 in figure 1 of amino acid residues 150-153: L-M-Y-R. The identity of each amino acid matches the limitations encompassed by claim 1 of this instant application. Thus Group I lacks novelty or inventive step and does not make prior contribution over the prior art.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the process comprising a vector, a nucleic acid, and a host cell can be used with a nucleic acid encoding a growth factor, such as neuregulin or epidermal growth factor.

The methods of groups III and XII can be shown to be distinct as they each have different starting materials, methods steps, and/or goals. Each of the methods can be shown to

be distinct from each of the products in that the product is either not used by the method or can be used in multiple methods.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of the art and their recognized divergent subject matter, the inventions require a different field of search, (see MPEP § 808.02), and need for non-coextensive non-patent literature search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP 804.01

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Art Unit: 1647

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/623,922

Art Unit: 1647

. . . .

Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to lan Dang whose telephone number is (571) 272-5014. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on (571) 272-0961. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ian Dang Patent Examiner Art Unit 1647 June 19, 2006

BRENDA BRUMBACK

Page 6

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600